

A Study of Socio-Economic Effects of Migration from Rural to Urban Areas

Oswal

Department of Sociology, Dharm Samaj College Aligarh U.P.

Received: 25/07/2025

Accepted for publication: 26/08/2025

Published: 30/09/2025

Abstract:

The migration of populations from rural to urban areas represents a significant socio-economic phenomenon driven by a complex interplay of economic, environmental, and demographic factors. Limited industrial development, inadequate employment opportunities, and poor infrastructure in rural regions compel individuals to seek livelihoods in cities that offer better economic prospects and living conditions. Declining agricultural viability—owing to climatic variability, monsoon dependence, and recurrent crop failures—further accelerates this movement. Moreover, the scarcity of quality healthcare and educational facilities in rural areas motivates families to relocate in pursuit of improved well-being and social mobility. Rapid population growth and labour surplus in villages also contribute to this migratory trend. While urban migration enhances access to resources and opportunities, it simultaneously introduces socio-economic challenges such as widening income disparities, cultural dislocation, and adjustment to new social norms. The study explores these multifaceted causes and consequences, emphasizing migration as both a symptom of rural distress and a driver of urban transformation.

Keywords: rural-urban migration, socio-economic impact, employment, agriculture, inequality, infrastructure, population growth, urbanization, adaptation, social change.

Introduction:

Migration, in its broadest sense, refers to the movement of people from one geographical location to another, either temporarily or permanently, for diverse reasons such as employment, education, or survival (Todaro & Smith, 2012). It constitutes one of the most dynamic components of population change, alongside fertility and mortality (Skeldon, 2017). Migration can be classified into several

types, including internal, international, rural–urban, voluntary, and forced migration. Internal migration pertains to the movement of people within a country’s borders—often from one region or state to another—whereas international migration involves crossing national frontiers (Ravenstein, 1885). Rural–urban migration, a prominent subtype of internal migration, refers to the movement of individuals from rural areas to urban centres in pursuit of better living conditions, employment opportunities, and access to services (Kundu, 2009). Forced migration, on the other hand, occurs when individuals are compelled to relocate due to wars, conflicts, natural disasters, or persecution (Castles, de Haas, & Miller, 2014). In contrast, voluntary migration arises from individual choice, often motivated by economic advancement or lifestyle preferences (Massey et al., 1993).

Migration is both a cause and consequence of socio-economic transformation. It is influenced by “push” factors—such as unemployment, poverty, and lack of infrastructure in rural regions—and “pull” factors like better job prospects, healthcare, and education in urban centres (Lee, 1966). The phenomenon of migration has existed since the earliest stages of human civilization, but its scale, causes, and consequences have evolved dramatically with modernization and industrialization (Zelinsky, 1971). In the Indian context, migration has historically been shaped by colonial legacies, agrarian distress, industrial development, and regional disparities (Deshingkar & Farrington, 2009). Singh (1998) observes that during the period between 1961 and 1991, India exhibited significant patterns of internal migration, reinforcing the idea that “there is no absolutely immobile population” in any region. This continuous movement underscores migration as an inevitable process of population redistribution and an essential dimension of socio-economic planning.

Conceptual Understanding of Migration

Migration represents not merely physical movement but also a socio-economic and cultural transition. It entails shifts in occupational structures, lifestyles, and identities (King, 2012). Internal migration, particularly rural–urban migration, is often viewed as an indicator of economic development, reflecting shifts from agrarian to industrial and service-oriented economies (Harris & Todaro, 1970). However, the process is rarely uniform; it varies based on regional economic disparities, resource distribution, and demographic pressures. In India, migration patterns reveal stark contrasts—while metropolitan cities such as Delhi, Mumbai, Bengaluru, and Hyderabad attract millions of rural migrants, some states like Bihar, Uttar Pradesh, and Odisha remain major “sending” regions (Srivastava & Sasikumar, 2003).

Theories of migration help explain this complex phenomenon. Ravenstein’s “Laws of Migration” (1885) proposed that migration is primarily governed by economic motives and occurs over short distances before extending to larger ones. Later, the Harris–Todaro Model (1970) emphasized that rural–urban migration is determined by the expected income differential between rural and urban areas

rather than actual income levels. The Push–Pull Theory (Lee, 1966) conceptualized migration as a decision influenced by both negative factors at the origin (poverty, unemployment, poor services) and positive factors at the destination (higher wages, education, healthcare). These frameworks remain relevant to understanding migration patterns in developing countries like India, where economic inequality and uneven development persist.

Migration in the Indian Context:

India's migration scenario is vast and multifaceted. According to the Census of India (2011), approximately 37% of the population was classified as migrants, highlighting the magnitude of internal mobility. The majority of these movements occur within state boundaries and are driven by marriage, employment, and education (Bhagat, 2017). Rural–urban migration remains particularly significant, accounting for a substantial proportion of India's labour force in urban centres.

Rural distress is one of the most powerful “push” factors in India. The agrarian economy, which sustains nearly half of the country's workforce, is beset by issues such as fragmented landholdings, climate change, and monsoon dependency (Chandrasekhar & Sharma, 2015). Crop failures and indebtedness often compel rural households to seek alternative livelihoods in urban areas. Additionally, inadequate infrastructure—limited access to healthcare, education, electricity, and transport—further motivates migration (Keshri & Bhagat, 2012). On the other hand, cities provide “pull” factors: industrial and service-sector employment, higher wages, and improved living amenities (Kundu, 2009).

However, the transition is not without challenges. Migrants often face socio-economic vulnerabilities, including poor housing conditions, job insecurity, discrimination, and lack of access to social security (Srivastava, 2020). Women migrants, in particular, encounter gendered barriers, such as unsafe working environments and limited autonomy (Desai & Banerji, 2008). Despite these challenges, migration continues as an essential coping and adaptive mechanism for rural populations seeking socio-economic mobility.

Socio-Economic Implications of Migration:

Migration has profound implications for both origin and destination regions. In rural areas, out-migration can reduce labour supply, leading to feminization of agriculture and potential stagnation of local economies (Kumar & Sharma, 2018). Yet, remittances from migrants often contribute significantly to household income and rural development, enabling access to education, healthcare, and better living conditions (Ratha, 2013). In urban areas, migration fuels economic growth by providing cheap and flexible labour for industries, construction, and services (Kundu, 2009). However,

it also exacerbates urban challenges such as congestion, slum proliferation, environmental degradation, and socio-economic inequality (Bhagat, 2017).

At a cultural level, migration facilitates interaction between diverse groups, promoting social dynamism but sometimes causing cultural dissonance and identity conflicts (King, 2012). Migrants often experience psychological stress and alienation while adapting to new social norms, lifestyles, and value systems (Sam & Berry, 2010). Over time, however, such transitions can foster hybrid identities and greater social integration.

Policy Relevance and the Need for Inclusive Planning:

Understanding migration is crucial for effective policy formulation. Singh (1998) rightly argues that migration must be studied comprehensively to guide future population redistribution and planning. India's policy response to migration has traditionally been fragmented, often viewing it as a problem rather than an opportunity (Deshingkar & Farrington, 2009). Recent initiatives—such as the National Urban Livelihoods Mission (NULM) and rural employment schemes like MGNREGA—aim to balance regional disparities and reduce distress-driven migration. Nevertheless, the COVID-19 pandemic exposed the precarious conditions of migrant workers, emphasizing the urgent need for comprehensive migration governance (Srivastava, 2020).

Effective migration policy should address three dimensions:

1. Economic empowerment – by enhancing rural livelihoods and reducing dependency on distress migration.
2. Urban inclusion – by ensuring housing, healthcare, and labour rights for migrants.
3. Social protection – through portability of entitlements and access to welfare schemes across states (ILO, 2018).

Objectives of study

1. To examine the emerging patterns of rural to urban migration.
2. To understand the causes of rural to urban migration.
3. To study the socio-economic consequences of migration from rural to urban areas.

Research Methodology- The data was collected by using both primary and secondary sources of data collection. Secondary methods were used wherever necessary like books, journals, official records, websites etc.

Findings of the Study

The study reveals that migration from rural to urban areas in India is a multifaceted and dynamic phenomenon influenced by a combination of economic, social, environmental, political, and demographic factors. It further highlights that migration has both positive and negative socio-economic implications—not only for the migrants themselves but also for the regions they leave and the urban centres they join.

1. Causes of Migration:

a) Economic Causes

The foremost cause of migration identified in the study is economic distress. Rural areas, being predominantly agrarian, often lack adequate non-farm employment opportunities. The dependence on agriculture as the primary source of livelihood exposes rural populations to uncertainty due to low productivity, price volatility, and climate-related risks. When the agricultural sector fails to provide sustainable income, people are compelled to seek better prospects in urban centres (Kumar, 2022).

Poverty, unemployment, and underemployment are the major economic push factors. The absence of industries, low wage levels, and seasonal employment in rural areas motivate individuals to migrate to cities in pursuit of higher earnings and financial stability. Conversely, urban areas act as pull factors by offering diversified employment opportunities in industries, services, and the informal sector. In this sense, migration becomes an economic survival strategy aimed at improving living standards and financial security for migrants and their families (Chand, 2017).

b) Social Causes:

Social factors also play a crucial role in the migration process. In many rural communities, rigid social structures, caste hierarchies, and limited upward mobility constrain personal growth and aspirations. Migrating to urban areas offers individuals greater freedom, anonymity, and exposure to modern lifestyles. Some migrants move to cities to expand their social networks, pursue education, or seek better prospects for their children.

Furthermore, migration is often associated with the search for identity and self-actualization. In certain cases, discord or tension in family or community relationships also motivates individuals to relocate. The shift from traditional rural society to an urban environment represents a broader social transformation in which people seek new opportunities for empowerment and recognition (Tyagi, 2016).

c) Environmental Causes:

Environmental factors have emerged as increasingly significant determinants of migration. Rural livelihoods are highly dependent on climatic stability, yet rural India faces recurrent natural calamities such as floods, droughts, and cyclones. These disasters frequently destroy crops, livestock, and property, pushing people to migrate for survival (Ali, 2018). Climate change has also intensified rural distress by reducing agricultural productivity, degrading soil fertility, and disrupting monsoon patterns. Over time, such conditions lead to environmental displacement, compelling households to migrate seasonally or permanently to urban centers in search of alternative employment. The study found that environmental stress has become a major “push factor,” particularly in states like Bihar, Odisha, and Jharkhand, where rural resilience is low.

d) Political Causes:

Political instability, conflict, and policy-driven displacement can also trigger migration. Although India does not face large-scale internal conflict-driven migration compared to some other regions, localized displacement caused by infrastructure projects, land acquisition, or inadequate governance contributes to population movement (Islam, 2014). For example, development-induced displacement—such as dam construction or mining projects—often forces rural inhabitants to relocate without sufficient compensation or rehabilitation.

e) Demographic and Infrastructural Factors:

Another significant dimension influencing migration is demographic pressure. Rapid population growth in rural areas has led to a surplus of labour, making agricultural income insufficient for sustaining households. As a result, younger generations, especially educated youth, are increasingly migrating to urban areas (Gupta, 2023). Additionally, improved connectivity through roads, railways, and communication networks has made migration more feasible and frequent. The expansion of transportation infrastructure has effectively reduced spatial barriers between rural and urban regions, facilitating the flow of labour and information (Singh, 2022).

2. Consequences of Rural–Urban Migration:

Migration has far-reaching consequences that manifest across social, economic, cultural, and psychological dimensions. These consequences are not uniformly positive or negative; rather, they coexist in complex and interdependent ways.

a) Social Consequences:

Rural–urban migration significantly impacts the social fabric of both rural and urban societies. One of the most visible effects is the breakdown of traditional family structures. Migrants especially male

members often move alone, leaving their families behind in villages. This separation can weaken familial bonds, increase emotional stress, and sometimes lead to social disintegration. The absence of working-age adults also burdens elderly and female family members with additional responsibilities (Ali, 2018).

Migration also contributes to the transformation of social values and lifestyles. Exposure to the urban way of life—modern education, technology, gender equality, and consumer culture can reshape the value systems of migrants. While some migrants adapt successfully, others face value conflict as they struggle to reconcile traditional beliefs with urban norms. This duality can cause cultural dissonance, loneliness, and psychological stress among migrants adjusting to new environments (Sam & Berry, 2010).

However, migration also brings positive social outcomes. It facilitates cultural exchange and diversity, leading to greater social integration over time. Migrants often transmit new ideas, technologies, and practices back to their native villages, contributing to gradual social modernization. Remittances are used to improve living standards, fund education, and support local development, which can strengthen social mobility in the long run (Malhotra, 2016).

b) Economic Consequences:

The economic effects of rural–urban migration are dual in nature. On one hand, migration enhances economic opportunities for individuals and their families. Migrants employed in urban centers often remit a portion of their income to their families in villages, improving household consumption and access to education and healthcare. Remittances have become a significant source of rural income in several states (Ratha, 2013).

Migration also contributes to urban economic growth by supplying a continuous flow of labour to industries, construction, and the service sector. This influx of labour supports the expansion of urban economies and fills the demand for low-cost, flexible labour (Kundu, 2009).

On the other hand, migration can deepen regional disparities. While urban areas experience economic expansion, rural regions may face labour shortages—especially during the agricultural season—leading to reduced productivity. The outflow of skilled and educated youth results in a phenomenon often described as “rural brain drains.” This affects local innovation, governance participation, and the sustainability of rural development programs (Mehrotra & Parida, 2021).

c) Demographic and Environmental Consequences:

Migration alters population distribution patterns. The concentration of people in urban centers exerts pressure on housing, sanitation, and infrastructure, leading to the proliferation of slums and informal settlements. Urban congestion often aggravates problems such as pollution, traffic, and waste management (Chand, 2017). In contrast, depopulated rural areas experience demographic imbalances, with a higher proportion of elderly and dependent populations remaining behind.

d) Cultural and Psychological Consequences:

Migration exposes individuals to diverse cultures and ideologies, fostering pluralism and tolerance. However, it can also lead to cultural alienation when migrants feel disconnected from their native traditions and communities. The sense of displacement and identity crisis among first-generation migrants often results in emotional distress and social isolation. Over time, however, second-generation migrants tend to adapt more easily, blending elements of both rural and urban cultures (King, 2012).

3. Positive Implications:

Despite its challenges, migration can be a catalyst for social and economic advancement. It helps reduce rural poverty by diversifying household income sources. Migrants gain access to better healthcare, education, and housing, leading to improved quality of life. Urban exposure also encourages skill development, entrepreneurship, and gender empowerment (Malhotra, 2016). The flow of remittances and return migration can strengthen local economies and foster innovation in rural areas.

4. Negative Implications:

At the same time, migration creates new vulnerabilities. Migrants often live in precarious housing conditions, face discrimination, and work in informal or insecure jobs with limited labor rights. Social isolation, family separation, and cultural dislocation can affect their mental health and well-being. Meanwhile, rural areas suffer from depopulation, reduced agricultural productivity, and the erosion of cultural traditions (Das & Usami, 2017).

Conclusion-

Objective 1: To Identify the Major Causes of Migration from Rural to Urban Areas, the study concludes that migration from rural to urban areas arises from a combination of economic, social, environmental, and demographic factors. Economic causes remain the most dominant, as lack of employment opportunities, low agricultural productivity, and poverty in rural regions push people toward cities. Urban areas, with their industrial expansion, service-sector growth, and diversified employment avenues, serve as strong pull factors. Additionally, limited access to quality education,

healthcare, and infrastructure in rural areas motivates migration. Environmental challenges such as droughts, floods, and climatic uncertainty further intensify this movement. Hence, migration represents both a response to rural distress and an aspiration for a better life.

Objective 2: To Examine the Socio-Economic Implications of Migration, The findings indicate that rural-to-urban migration produces **both positive and negative socio-economic outcomes**. On the positive side, it enhances access to education, healthcare, and income-generating opportunities, thereby improving living standards and reducing household poverty. Migrants often remit a part of their income to their families, contributing to rural development. However, the process also has adverse consequences—particularly the **widening of inequality** between rural and urban populations. Those who migrate benefit from urban advantages, while those left behind face reduced economic opportunities, labor shortages, and stagnation. The uneven distribution of development thus reinforces structural disparities within the country.

Objective 3: To Assess the Psychological and Cultural Impact of Migration on Migrants, Migration not only transforms the economic conditions of individuals but also profoundly affects their **psychological and cultural well-being**. Adapting to a new social environment in cities exposes migrants to unfamiliar norms, lifestyles, and value systems. This transition can create internal conflicts, cultural dissonance, and stress as migrants struggle to balance their traditional rural identities with modern urban life. The absence of familial support systems and community networks often leads to **loneliness, anxiety, and social isolation**. Over time, some migrants succeed in integrating into the urban culture, while others experience alienation, highlighting the need for mental health and social support mechanisms in migration policy frameworks.

Objective 4: To Understand the Role of Migration in Shaping Development Patterns, Migration plays a dual role in India's development landscape. While it serves as a driver of economic growth and urban labor supply, it simultaneously poses challenges for **balanced regional development**. Rural outmigration can lead to depopulation, reduced agricultural productivity, and the erosion of local cultural traditions. Urban centers, in contrast, experience population pressure, infrastructural strain, and the growth of informal settlements. Thus, migration acts as both an indicator of progress and a symptom of rural underdevelopment. Sustainable development requires a holistic approach that strengthens rural livelihoods, decentralizes economic opportunities, and ensures inclusive growth in both rural and urban contexts.

Objective 5: To Suggest Measures for Reducing the Adverse Effects of Migration, To mitigate the negative consequences of rural-to-urban migration, the study suggests focusing on **integrated rural development and inclusive urban planning**. Investment in rural industries, education, healthcare,

and infrastructure can curb distress-driven migration by generating local employment. Policies promoting skill development, agricultural diversification, and entrepreneurship among rural youth can create sustainable livelihoods. Moreover, urban policies must address the challenges faced by migrants—such as housing, healthcare, and labour rights—ensuring their social protection and psychological well-being. Migration should not be viewed merely as a problem but as a transformative process that, if managed effectively, can contribute to **national development and social equity**.

Overall Conclusion: In sum, migration from rural to urban areas is a complex socio-economic phenomenon shaped by developmental imbalances and human aspirations. It embodies both hope and hardship—offering opportunities for progress while creating new challenges of adaptation and inequality. The study underscores the need for policies that bridge the rural-urban divide, foster equitable growth, and support migrants' well-being to ensure that migration becomes a **pathway toward inclusive and sustainable development**, rather than a manifestation of distress and displacement

References

- Ali, S. (2018). *Rural distress and migration patterns in India*. Journal of Rural Studies, 62, 32–45.
- Bhagat, R. B. (2017). *Migration and urban transition in India: Implications for development*. Economic and Political Weekly, 52(35), 65–73.
- Castles, S., de Haas, H., & Miller, M. J. (2014). *The age of migration: International population movements in the modern world* (5th ed.). Palgrave Macmillan.
- Chakraborty, A. (2018). Stagnation of real wages and rising rural poverty in India. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 53(12), 44–52.
- Chand, R. (2017). *Transforming Indian agriculture and rural economy*. NITI Aayog Discussion Paper.
- Chandrasekhar, S., & Sharma, A. (2015). *Urbanization and spatial patterns of internal migration in India*. Economic and Political Weekly, 50(26–27), 60–70.
- Chauhan, P., Singh, R., & Yadav, S. (2016). Poverty and inequality in rural India: Trends and challenges. *Indian Journal of Economics*, 97(386), 121–138.
- Das, S., & Usami, Y. (2017). *Wage and employment trends in rural India: A post-reform analysis*. Centre for Labour Research.

- Desai, S., & Banerji, M. (2008). Negotiated identities: Male migration and left-behind wives in India. *Journal of Population Research*, 25(3), 337–355.
- Deshingkar, P., & Farrington, J. (2009). *Circular migration and multilocal livelihood strategies in India*. Oxford University Press.
- Ghosh, J. (2016). Economic liberalization and inequality in India. *Cambridge Journal of Economics*, 40(3), 937–954.
- Gupta, N. (2023). *Urbanization and migration trends in contemporary India*. *Population and Development Review*, 49(1), 89–107.
- Harris, J. R., & Todaro, M. P. (1970). Migration, unemployment and development: A two-sector analysis. *American Economic Review*, 60(1), 126–142.
- International Labour Organization (ILO). (2018). *Labour migration in India: A policy perspective*. ILO Publications.
- Islam, M. N. (2014). Socio-economic conditions of rural population in developing countries. *Journal of Social Studies*, 35(1), 23–38.
- Keshri, K., & Bhagat, R. B. (2012). Temporary and seasonal migration in India. *Genus*, 68(3), 25–45.
- King, R. (2012). Theories and typologies of migration: An overview and a primer. *Malmö University Studies in Migration*, 3, 1–37.
- Kumar A. (2022) Trends and Pattern of Rural-Urban Migration in India. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 11, 344-352
- Kumar, A., & Sharma, P. (2018). Migration and rural livelihoods: Impact and policy implications. *Indian Journal of Labour Economics*, 61(2), 247–266.
- Kumar, P. (2022). *Migration behavior of rural youth in Haryana*. *Indian Journal of Population Studies*, 48(2), 71–90.
- Kundu, A. (2009). *Urbanisation and migration in India: A policy perspective*. International Institute for Environment and Development.
- Lee, E. S. (1966). A theory of migration. *Demography*, 3(1), 47–57.

- Malhotra N. & Devi P.(2016) Analysis of factors affecting Internal Migration in India: Issues and Challenges. *Journal of Research*. 10 (1).216-218
- Malhotra, A. (2016). Migration, remittances, and poverty reduction: An Indian perspective. *South Asian Development Review*, 11(1), 101–118.
- Massey, D. S., et al. (1993). Theories of international migration: A review and appraisal. *Population and Development Review*, 19(3), 431–466.
- Maurya A.(2022) Migration Behaviour of rural youth in Haryana.Indian Journal of Extension Education, 58(3),55-59
- Mehrotra, S., & Parida, J. (2021). *Jobless growth and youth unemployment in rural India*. Institute for Human Development.
- Pandey, R. (2016). Rural development and poverty alleviation in India: Issues and perspectives. *Journal of Rural Development*, 35(4), 495–510.
- Ratha, D. (2013). *The impact of remittances on economic growth and poverty reduction*. Migration Policy Institute.
- Ravenstein, E. G. (1885). The laws of migration. *Journal of the Statistical Society of London*, 48(2), 167–235.
- Singh D.P. & Biradar R. (2022) Migration in India: Trends and characteristics. Demogra Tyagi R.C & Siddiqui T. (2016). Causes of Rural Urban Migration in India: Challenges and Policy Issues. Indian Journal of Research, Vol 5(6), 173-176
- Singh D.P. (1998) Internal Migration in India: 1961-1991. *Demography India*, 27(1),245-261
- Singh, R. B. (1998). *Internal migration in India: 1961–1991 trends and patterns*. *Population Geography*, 20(1), 1–12.
- Singh, R. B. (1998). *Internal migration in India: Trends and patterns (1961–1991)*.
- Singh, V. (2022). Emerging migration patterns and socio-economic characteristics in India.*International Journal of Migration Studies*, 9(3), 211–229.
- Skeldon, R. (2017). *International migration, internal migration, mobility and urbanization: Towards more integrated approaches*. Population Division, United Nations.

Srivastava, R. (2020). *Vulnerability, uncertainty and agency: The lived experience of migrant workers in India during COVID-19*. Indian Journal of Labour Economics, 63(4), 859–883.

Todaro, M. P., & Smith, S. C. (2012). *Economic development* (11th ed.). Pearson Education.

Tyagi R.C & Siddiqui T. (2016). Causes of Rural Urban Migration in India: Challenges and Policy Issues. Indian Journal of Research, Vol 5(6), 173-176

Tyagi, A. (2016). Causes of rural–urban migration in India: A socio-economic analysis. *Indian Journal of Social Research*, 57(2), 187–203.

UN (1993). Readings in Population Research and Methodology. The United Nations Population Fund, New York

Vorobyov S. et al (2019)IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci. 395 012109

Vorobyov, V. (2019). Measuring socio-economic development in rural regions: Conceptual approaches. *International Journal of Rural Development Studies*, 27(1), 11–25.

Zelinsky, W. (1971). The hypothesis of the mobility transition. *Geographical Review*, 61(2), 219–249.

Cite this Article:

Oswal (2025). “A Study of Socio-Economic Effects of Migration from Rural to Urban Areas” Chaitanya Samvad Interdisciplinary Journal of Research, ISSN: [3107-7102](https://doi.org/10.65250/chaitanyasamvad.v1i2.4) (Online), Volume 1, Issue 2, pp. 42-54, September 2025.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.65250/chaitanyasamvad.v1i2.4>

Journal URL: <https://chaitanyasamvad.com/>



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/).